







EVALUATION: Function B Course, March 5-April 12, 2019

Number of answers: 12




■ (4) to a small extent.	0	0%
■ (5) to a very small extent.	0	0%



I have actively engaged during the course:

Answers to this question: 12


■ (1) to a very large extent.	8	66.7%
■ (2) to a large extent.	4	33.3%
■ (3) to some extent.	0	0%
■ (4) to a small extent.	0	0%
■ (5) to a very small extent.	0	0%



I had sufficient prior knowledge to fully participate in the course:

Answers to this question: 12


■ (1) to a very large extent.	4	33.3%
■ (2) to a large extent.	6	50%
■ (3) to some extent.	1	8.3%
■ (4) to a small extent.	0	0%
■ (5) to a very small extent.	1	8.3%



The examination adequately assessed the achievement of the intended learning outcomes:

Answers to this question: 12


■ (1) to a very large extent.	6	50%
■ (2) to a large extent.	3	25%
■ (3) to some extent.	3	25%
■ (4) to a small extent.	0	0%
■ (5) to a very small extent.	0	0%



I have achieved all the learning outcomes of the course:


Answers to this question: 12

■ (1) to a very large extent.	5	41.7%
■ (2) to a large extent.	7	58.3%
■ (3) to some extent.	0	0%
■ (4) to a small extent.	0	0%
■ (5) to a very small extent.	0	0%




For me, the course was adequately challenging:Answers to this question: **12**


■ (1) to a very large extent.	5	41.7%
■ (2) to a large extent.	4	33.3%
■ (3) to some extent.	3	25%
■ (4) to a small extent.	0	0%
■ (5) to a very small extent.	0	0%

**This course has fulfilled my expectations of a high quality course:**Answers to this question: **12**

■ (1) to a very large extent.	8	66.7%
■ (2) to a large extent.	4	33.3%
■ (3) to some extent.	0	0%
■ (4) to a small extent.	0	0%
■ (5) to a very small extent.	0	0%

**Would you recommend this course to other persons designing animal experiments?**Answers to this question: **12**

■ (1) Yes.	12	100%
■ (2) No.	0	0%
■ (3) Don't know/not sure.	0	0%

**Any parts of the course that were excellent? Please specify.**Answers to this question: **10**

questions

I really liked the part about systematic reviews and the statistics. I was not knowing about these things before in such a detail. Very interesting!

I appreciated the lectures, all the interesting discussions within the group. I learned a lot!

All parts of it.

Very good in general.

The range and experience of the lecturers - Matt Leach particularly

Sure, there were a couple of lectures that were especially good. At the moment I would say that lecture by Matt Leach belong to these.

The high international experience, knowledge and competence of the teachers.

some good talks were: Matt Leach, Michael Festing, Rafael Frias, Merel Ritskes-Hoitinga, Wendy Jarrett.

the lectures were all of very high quality

Any recommendation on how to improve the course? Please specify which parts and how.Answers to this question: **9**

I am pretty happy. It was very interesting and informative!

Sometimes the questions were a bit unclear on how to respond to them.

Keep the good work!

Maybe add 2 more interactive sessions with discussions.

The exam was tough to understand- the questions were not straight forward and hard to interpret

Somehow more systematic overview of the pharmacology. Substances and product names for anesthesia, analgesia et.c. could be challenging for someone that doesn't recognize them from before.

In general the course is excellent regarding the contents and also uses adequate and dynamic tools for learning.

The exam is unnecessary, the presentation covers enough and takes time to prepare.

simplify the English in the exam questions or let a someone review the languish and the questions prior the exam

Did the course cover the areas that you expected? If not, what was missing?

Answers to this question: **7**

It covered more than expected (e. g. the lecture about the history of ethics)

I am very pleased with the course.

Yes.

I missed a good summary of Swedish expressions and language-specific things that we need to write good ethical applications in Sweden. Translation from English is not always straightforward and obvious.

Yes

yes, the course exceeded expectations!

yes

Please give your honest opinion of the PREPARE guidelines. How can they best be used to improve scientific validity and animal welfare?

Answers to this question: **7**

I believe that it should maybe be more mandatory to use guidelines as such for animal studies. In science we need good work standards to evaluate our own data and to compare to each other. And good preparation, study design, and animal welfare is essential for that. I will try to integrate these guidelines into my next project.

I think I will aim to implement it in my work.

I think the guidelines are good but are very demanding. There should be more support from the Institution where the research take place to help the principals investigators with this.

good guidelines

To implement them as a regular task to do in the lab as they are very important for high quality for all the experiments involving animals.

It was not so clear that PREPARE guidelines are easier or generally better than the ARRIVE GUIDLINES.

better experimental design planning